#### **IOKCLIENT AUTHORIZATION LETTER**

NSERT DATE HERE
Dear Client:
We are currently responding to the NASA Ames Research Center request for proposal (RFP) for the Business Operations and Technical Services (BOATS) procurement. This procurement is a follow-on requirement for administrative and technical support at NASA Ames Research Center. (see attached statement of work)
NASA Ames Research Center is continuing to place increased emphasis on past performance as a source selection factor. As such, a requirement of its solicitation is that past clients of ours be identified and participate in the evaluation process. You are hereby authorized to respond to this and other nquiries.
We have identified of your organization as the point of contact based on nis/her knowledge concerning our work.
Please complete the enclosed Past Performance Questionnaire and forward it directly to NASA Ames Research Center, Attn: Starr L. Strong, Code JAC: 241-1, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000, telephone 650-604-4699. Facsimile responses are acceptable. Please fax to Attn: Starr L. Strong, fax 650-604-0912. Email responses may be sent to: <a href="mailto:Starr.L.Strong@nasa.gov">Starr.L.Strong@nasa.gov</a> .
A response to this questionnaire is requested to the above address no later than
Your cooperation is appreciated. Any questions may be directed to the undersigned.
Sincerely,
Enclosure

## **Experience and Past Performance Questionnaire**

Offeror:				
Contract Number:				
Agency/Company:				
1.		ng information about your contract:		
	<ul><li>a. Type of contra</li><li>Competitive? □Y</li></ul>	ct: (e.g., CPFF, CPIF, CPAF, FFP or describe);		
☐ Follow-on or ☐ new requirement?				
	Please provide the			
	b. Period of perform:	ormance (including extensions/options)  To:		
	c. Contract dolla	value (including all options) \$		
	d. Was the contra	actor the Prime or Sub?		
		ntractor's work force (Work Year Equivalents [Winterpretation work force) work Year Equivalents [Winterpretation work force) work Year Equivalents [Winterpretation work force]	YEs])	
	f. Type of service Check all contract	res provided: ctor-provided functions for this contract	Approximate percent of total contract staff	
4	1. General	Administrative Support		
- Share	2. Acquisition		o?  Vear Equivalents [WYEs])  ontract (WYEs)  Approximate percent of total	
	3. Diversity			
	4. Documer			
		n and Outreach		
	6. Human C	<i>y</i>		
		Chief Counsel		
		fairs and Media Relations		
	9. Other rel	evant contracts that relate to other SOW al areas		

#### **GENERAL**

1.	List three of the contractor's major strengths and how contract performance was affected:
	•
	•
	•
2.	List three of the contractor's major weaknesses and how contract performance was affected:
	•
	•
	•
O	VERALL RATING
Us	ng the adjectival ratings below, please assign an overall rating.
lf t	ne choice were yours alone, would you select this contractor for the follow-on contract?
	Yes No No
	Comments:

#### **SPECIFICS**

The past performance evaluation assesses the contractor's performance under previously awarded contracts for the same or similar requirements. The past performance evaluation is an assessment of the government's level of confidence in the offeror's ability to perform the solicitation requirements as described in the statement of work. The past performance evaluation shall be in accordance with FAR 15.305(a)(2) and NFS 1815.305(a)(2).

Use the following level of confidence ratings to respond to the questions below. Please select one rating per statement, using the following definitions. Please provide additional remarks to further explain any rating and in response to the more detailed questions.

	Adjectival Rating	Definitions		
E	Excellent	Of exceptional merit; exemplary performance in a timely, efficient and economical manner; very minor (if any) weaknesses with no adverse effect on overall performance.		
VG	Very Good	Very effective performance, fully responsive to contract requirements accomplished in a timely, efficient, and economical manner for most part; only minor weaknesses.		
G	Good	Effective performance; fully responsive to contract requirements; reportable weaknesses, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance.		
S	Satisfactory	Meets or slightly exceeds minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable weaknesses with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.		

	Adjectival Rating	Definitions
Р	Poor/Unsatisfactory	Does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; deficiencies in one or more areas which adversely affect overall performance.
N/A	Not Applicable	Not applicable to the contract being referenced.

a. <u>TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE</u>
Rate the overall quality of this contractor for your contract.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
2. Rate the contractor's compliance with technical and schedule requirements. Discuss any contractor-caused schedule slips.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
3. Rate the contractor's flexibility and effectiveness in dealing with changes to technical requirements.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
4. Rate the contractor's record of innovation and resource-efficient solutions to satisfy requirements.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
5. Rate the performance and relevant experience of the contractor's key personnel.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
Rate the quality, accuracy and completeness of technical documentation
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A

### b. <u>CONTRACT MANAGEMENT</u>

1. Rate the contractor's record in managing both small and large tasks as well as the simultaneous management of a large number of varied tasks without constant, direct customer oversight. Rate the contractor's record in responding to short-term high demand requirements.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
2. Rate the contractor's record in conforming with the terms and conditions of the contract, including delivery of products and reports, and adherence to cost and schedule constraints.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
3. Rate the contractor's record in managing subcontracts.  □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
<ul> <li>4. Rate the effectiveness of the contractor's customer interactions.</li> <li>□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A</li> </ul>
5. Rate the contractor's record in recruiting, developing, and retaining highly skilled employees to address contract objectives.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
6. Rate the contractor's record in assessing and re-assigning staff based on performance.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
7. Rate any contractor problems encountered and their resolutions.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A

8. Rate the contractor's processes and results in responding to contract changes and/or negotiations.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
9. Rate the performance of the contractor's procurement system.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
10. Rate the contractor's commitment to safety, including protection of employees.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
11. Rate the contractor's history of labor relations issues.
12. Rate the contractor's record for retention of incumbent contractor employees during the first year of contracts for which the offeror was not the incumbent.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
13. Rate the contractor's management of the phase-in period to ensure continuity of operations, in cases where the offeror was not the incumbent.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A
14. Rate the contractor's ability to identify and mitigate risks (including risks associated with cost, schedule, deliverables and milestones), ensuring mission success.
□ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A

# CORPORATE MANAGEMENT RESPONSIVENESS C. 1. Rate the qualifications and effectiveness of on-site contract management and the level of autonomy the site manager had to manage the contract. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A 2. Rate the contractor's corporate management responsiveness to contract problems. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A 3. Rate the contractor's corporate management involvement in contract operations. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A 4. Rate the contractor's availability of corporate resources when required. $\square$ E $\square$ VG $\square$ G $\square$ S $\square$ P $\square$ N/A 5. Rate the stability and performance of the contractor workforce. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A 6. Rate the contractor's response to changes made to lines of authority during the contract and their impact on contract performance. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A 7. Rate the contractor's performance in handling management performance problems encountered and their resolutions. $\square$ E $\square$ VG $\square$ G $\square$ S $\square$ P $\square$ N/A 8. Rate the contractor's ability to operate free from organizational conflicts of interest. □ E □ VG □ G □ S □ P □ N/A

d.		ADDITIONAL INFOR	<u>RMATIO</u>	<u>N</u>				
	1. trai	If a new contractor, what nsition?	was the	percentage of i	ncumbent staff retention duri	ng		
		Key		Other				
		What percent of the incur	mbent sta	aff did the Contr	actor attempt to retain?			
		Key		_ Other _				
		Was this appropriate?						
		Was this the amount pro	oosed?					
	2.	Did the contractor provid	e the key	personnel prop	osed?			
		Yes If no, please explain			No 🗆			
	_							
	3. cor	ntract period of performand	ce?	·	igned during the first 12 mor	nths of the		
		Key		Other				
	What was the breakout?							
		Incumbent		New Hire				
	4.	Upon their departure, we	re key pe	ersonnel replace	ed appropriately and in a time	ely manner?		
		Yes		No 🗌	N/A			
		If no, please explain						
		5. If hiring was required at any time after the start of the contract, did the contractor identify appropriate vacancy skill sets and fill those vacancies with the appropriate skills and expertise in a timely manner?						
		Yes		No 🗌	N/A			
		If no, please explain						
	6. me	If the contract's scope watering new requirements?	as increas	sed or decrease	ed, was the contractor succes	ssful in		
		Yes		No 🗌	N/A			
		If yes or no, please expla	iin					

7. Did any	regulatory violation	ns occur be	ecause of th	e contractor's actions	s?
	Yes		No 🗌	N/A	
If yes, p	olease explain				
<u>NARRATIVE</u>	SUMMARY				
Use this se	ction to provide add	litional info	rmation abo	out the contractor's o	verall performance.
	·				·
					_
-					
-					<u> </u>
Submitted By (S	Signature)				Date:
Name (printed)					
Phone, Comme				FAX	
Relationship to					<del></del>
	rement in Program				